

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,473	09/15/2003	Sang Seok Lee	8733.872.00-US	9223	
30827 7	30827 7590 06/15/2006			EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			KOCH, GEORGE R		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/661,473	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George R. Koch III	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover shet with th	correspondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be found in the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	-/				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/25/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 12, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gaynes (US 6,129,804).

Gaynes discloses an apparatus (see Figure 1) for manufacturing liquid crystal display (LCD) devices, comprising: at least one substrate bonding station (items 17 and 33) for bonding un-bonded first and second substrates, wherein the substrate bonding station includes first and second sides; at least one loader (load station 1) arranged at the first side of the substrate bonding station for loading the un-bonded first and second

Application/Control Number: 10/661,473

Art Unit: 1734

substrates into the substrate bonding station; and at least one unloader (output station 37) arranged at the second side for unloading bonded ones of the first and second substrates, wherein the substrate bonding station includes third and fourth sides, wherein third side is proximate the fourth side. The loaders are disclosed as (and are capable of) subsequently loading the substrates as claimed (see column 10, lines 1-9).

Page 3

Applicant argues that Gaynes In any event, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to have utilized loading stations on one side and unloading stations on the other. One in the art would appreciate that such multiple loading improves throughput efficiency, by ensuring a single track of substrate movement, rather than inefficient backtracking in the movement of the substrate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used multiple loading/unloading structures in order to ensure efficiency by ensuring a single, one directional, pathway for the substrate.

As to claim 2, Gaynes discloses a plurality of bonding stations (items 17 and 33).

As to claim 12, Gaynes discloses at least one hardening station (see Figures 2 and 3, items 66, and column 10, line 23 to 63) for hardening a sealant material arranged between the bonded ones of the first and second substrates, wherein the at least one hardening station is arranged proximate the fourth side of the at least one unloader and wherein the at least one unloader loads the bonded ones of the first and second substrates into the at least one hardening station.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,473

Art Unit: 1734

As to claims 17 and 18, Gaynes discloses that the hardening station can direct either UV light (see column 10, lines 30-46) or direct heat (column 10, lines 55-61) to the sealant material.

4. Claims 1, 12, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Hazishume (US 2002/0062787).

Hazishume discloses an apparatus for manufacturing LCD displays (paragraphs 0001-0002), comprising at least one substrate bonding station (Figures 5, 18, 19, called a pressing device), at least one loader arranged at the first side of the substrate for subsequently loading the first substrate and second substrate (item 38B, and see Figure 6) into the substrate bonding station, and at least one unloader arranged at a second side for unloading bonded ones of the first and second substrates (either item 38c or 38d), wherein the substrate bonding station includes 3rd and 4th sides, wherein the third side is proximate the fourth side (and compare especially Figure 5 of Hazishume with Figure 2 of the instant application).

As to claim 12, Hazishume discloses a hardening station as claimed (see Figure 5, item 37).

As to claim 16, Hazishume shows a one-to-one correspondence between the hardening station and loader (by showing one of each).

As to claim 17, Hazishume discloses a UV lamp (paragraph 0200).

As to claim 18, Hazishume discloses that a heater may replace the UV lamp (see paragraph 0243).

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 19, Figure 35 of Hazishume shows further details of the aligning/pressing device, which includes a lower chamber unit (231b) with openings in first and second sides, and upper chamber unit (231a) including openings in first and second sides, the upper chamber unit being raisable and lowerable with respect to the loawer chamber unit (raising of upper chamber unit is described in paragraph 0275) being and joinable to the lower chamber unit (as shown0, an upper stage as claimed (237a) and a lower stage as claimed (item 237b), and a sealing member as claimed (Oring 241 - and see paragraphs 0275-0280).

5. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaynes as applied to claims 1, 2, 12, 17 and 18 above, OR Hazishume, and further in view of Watanabe (US 2002/0043344 A1) and Kakinuma (US 5,961,777).

As to claim 2 and 3, neither Gaynes nor Hazishume discloses the concept of arrange the bonding stations parallel to each other. Additionally, Hazishume does not suggest a plurality of bonding stations.

Watanabe discloses that it is known to use two parallel tracks of bonding stations (40a-40d OR 41a-41d - see paragraph 0038). Kakinuma also discloses two bonding press (items 20). Kakinuma discloses that the two sets of bonding press portions so as to be able to synchronize with the overall processing speed (see column 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 1734

invention to have utilized such parallel bonding presses in order to maintain overall processing speed.

Similarly, as to claims 4-11 and 13-16, Kaninuma also discloses multiple, parallel loading and unloading structures (arms 8a-b, 12a-b, and 15a-b) and hardening structures (which cohabit with the press bonding structures). Kakinuma discloses that the two sets of bonding press portions so as to be able to synchronize with the overall processing speed (see column 5, lines 23-25). One would also appreciate that the duplication of the additional structures also maintains overall processing speed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize such parallel bonding presses in order to maintain overall processing speed.

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaynes as applied to claim 1 above, OR Hazishume as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Satoshi (Machine translation of JP 2001-356353).

Gaynes does not discloses that the at least one substrate bonding station includes: a lower chamber unit openings in the first and second sides; an upper chamber unit including openings in the first and second sides, the upper chamber unit being raiseable and lowerable with respect to the lower chamber unit being and joinable to the lower chamber unit; an upper stage fixed to the upper chamber unit for securing the unbonded first substrate; a lower stage fixed to the lower chamber unit for securing the unbonded second glass substrate; and a sealing member provided on a surface of at least one of the upper and lower chamber units for sealing an interior space

Application/Control Number: 10/661,473 Page 7

Art Unit: 1734

surrounding the first and second substrates, wherein the sealed interior space is definable by joined ones of the upper and lower chamber units.

However, Satoshi discloses that the at least one substrate bonding station includes: a lower chamber unit openings (item 10) in the first and second sides; an upper chamber (item 21) unit including openings in the first and second sides, the upper chamber unit being raiseable and lowerable with respect to the lower chamber unit being and joinable to the lower chamber unit; an upper stage (items 27 and 28) fixed to the upper chamber unit for securing the unbonded first substrate; a lower stage (item 9) fixed to the lower chamber unit for securing the unbonded second glass substrate; and a sealing member (O-ring 44)provided on a surface of at least one of the upper and lower chamber units for sealing an interior space surrounding the first and second substrates, wherein the sealed interior space is definable by joined ones of the upper and lower chamber units (see Figure 1 and translation). Satoshi discloses that this bonding station results in substrates that can be stuck on with a high degree of accuracy in a vacuum (paragraph 0047). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the claimed bonding station in order to bond substrates with a high degree of accuracy.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,473 Page 8

Art Unit: 1734

Applicant argues that the references do no show a loader/unloader as claimed.

However, Gaynes discloses or makes obvious the loader as shown above. Additionally, Hazishume shows an LCD bonding apparatus that is markedly similar to that as claimed, with exception of no multiple or plural tracks.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and

Application/Control Number: 10/661,473 Page 9

Art Unit: 1734

giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch til

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1734

GRK 6/11/2006